Robert W. Hurt 100 SW Magnolia Ave. Keystone Heights, Florida 32656 (352)473-4440



# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Thomas A. Dillon, Independent Fiduciary Of Employers Mutual Plans,

CASE NO. CV-N-03-0119-HDM-VPC

Plaintiff,

Defendant Robert W. Hurt's Response To Court Order and Accompanying Information of March 26, 2003

٧.

Robert W. Hurt, et al.

Defendants.

To follow is input requested by Mr. Brace with respect to a pre-trial conference. This will include Mr. Hurt's response to the Court Order of March 26, 2003 and the additional attachments and information accompanying the Court Order as sent by Attorney Robert Brace. Be it known that Mr. Hurt is also accompanying this response with the information requested in the four interrogatories in the original Court Order as requested in the Court Order and to the best of his ability and according to information taken directly from files maintained for the Employers Mutual clients mentioned in the Court Order.

### **Grounds For This Response**

1. Although Mr. Hurt has been ordered to and is complying with the March 26, 2003 Court Order following the hearing with Magistrate Judge Cook, Mr. Hurt, as would any conscientious insurance agent, objects to having to list Social Security numbers, names, addresses, and phone numbers of Employers Mutual Clients. This information is being requested by the court, without the written consent of these individuals of whom files have



been kept. This, in Mr. Hurt's understanding of HIPAA, does not allow for any client to have protection of their right, under the HIPAA Laws as currently written, to confidentiality and privacy. Should any of this information become public record, Mr. Hurt should be absolved of any liability in that he complied with the Court Order rather than withhold this information on behalf of these individuals and their families.

- 2. Mr. Hurt, and perhaps others, cannot afford any Mediator's fees or other additional fees whatsoever at this time. Due to budgetary constraints, there are no funds available at all. This places Mr. Hurt at an economic disadvantage since Mr. Dillon has fees allotted to him and has not had to use his own funds. Mr. Hurt is placing the court on notice of his economic situation.
- 3. Mr. Hurt, and perhaps others, cannot afford the services of any attorney nor can he afford to "co-op" with any attorney. This is being stated as a matter of fact and for the record. This is an economic disadvantage and therefore a legal disadvantage for Mr. Hurt, and perhaps others. Mr. Hurt wishes to place the court on notice of this fact.
- 4. Mr. Hurt, and perhaps others, objects to any use of "one common attorney" to serve the various and different needs of the entire list of Defendants. Mr. Hurt believes that no one person is qualified, that no one person has all the facts, that any additional expense, time, travel, etc. is not realistic in attempting to work with "one common attorney". How one attorney would be capable of coordinating the various needs of hundreds of defendants is a question to Mr. Hurt and something he is gravely concerned about. This places Mr. Hurt, and perhaps others, at a disadvantage in defending himself.
- 5. The venue of Reno, Nevada makes use of time difficult since Mr. Hurt is three time zone hours removed from that location. Mr. Hurt cannot afford to travel such a distance, rent hotel/motel space, and pay for his own meals and transportation. Mr. Hurt's wife is recovering from cancer surgery and he also has the responsibility of a handicapped son which adds to the difficulty of travel. This places Mr. Hurt, and perhaps others, at a disadvantage in defending himself.
- 6. The venue of Reno, Nevada is far removed from any of the Employers Mutual clients Mr. Hurt would have come in contact with. This places these individuals, their wishes and interests as a lower priority as a result.

# 7. The venue of Reno, Nevada is far removed from any agents Mr. Hurt could have contacted personally. Mr. Hurt himself, and others included are at a disadvantage in defending themselves as a result of this.

- 8. Mr. Hurt objects to the one-sided discovery thus far in this case. Mr. Hurt is trying to defend himself with both hands tied behind his back.
- 9. When Mr. Hurt asked Magistrate Judge, Valerie Cook about being contacted for telephonically attending the pre-trial conference for August 26, 2003, Judge Cook stated, in the meeting concerning Objections on July 21, 2003, that "...the clerk of the court will, uh I assume, Judge McKibben's clerk, deputy court clerk, will have all of the telephone numbers for parties, just as my court clerk did and she will make those arrangements sir, and be in touch with you. Mr. Hurt. Today's date is August 11, 2003, and no one has contacted Mr. Hurt as of yet.
- 10. When placed on notice concerning certain tactics being used by Mr. Brace appearing to be fraudulent and attempting to use the color of law to procure money, Judge Cook

struck from the record Mr. Hurt's notice. It would seem that any court would be the least bit interested in investigating the allegations and complaints as to these serious events.

11. Mr. Hurt objects (input was requested) to Mr. Brace's drafted Preliminary Report in its entirety and objects to the court accepting it in any form at this time. Mr. Brace's Case Management Order is objectionable on its face.

#### Conclusion

The responses of Mr. Hurt were requested by Mr. Brace in his accompanying paperwork and Court Order of March 26, 2003 and therefore should be considered either prior to or during the August 26, 2003 conference with Judge McKibben.

Prepared and submitted by;

Robert W. Hurt

### **Certificate of Service**

I, Robert W. Hurt, certify that August 12, 2003, I mailed a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing response via first class mail to:

Robert L. Brace P.O. Box 630 Santa Barbara, CA 93102

and

Richard W. Horton Suite 1100 Bank of America Plaza 50 W. Liberty Street Reno, NV 89501

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	S	
Thomas A Dison.Independent Frauciary VPC of Employers Mutual Plans  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				Robert W. Hurt 100 SW Magnolia Ave. Keystone Heights, Fl. 32656  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
(C) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Robert L. Brece 1/26 Sente Barbare Street Sente Barbare, Ce. 93101 (805)963-9711 Case No. CV-N-03-0119-HDM-VPC				Attorneys (If Known) Robert W. Hurt, PRO SE 100 SW Magnolia Ave Keystone Heights, FI 32656 (352)473-4440		
II. BASIS OF JURISD  1 U.S. Government Plaintiff  2 U.S. Government Defendant	3 Federal Questi (U.S. Gove	rnment Not a Party)	(For E	Diversity Cases Only) n of This State	DEF 1 Incorport of Bus 2 12 Incorport	RTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for and One Box for Defendant)  ated or Principal Place 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
IV. NATURE OF SUI  CONTRACT  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Smis 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability  REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability		PERSONAL PROP  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lendi 380 Other Per Property Dam 385 Property Dam Product Liabil  S PRISONER  510 Motions to Vi Sentence Habcas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty	URY   6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	FEITURE/PENALTY  10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 500 Artine Regs. 600 Occupational Safety/Health 590 Other  LABOR  710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations DNS 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	B64 SSID Title XV B65 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX	400 State Reapportionment   410 Antimists   430 Banks and Banking   430 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.   460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and   Corrupt Organizations   810 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange   875 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   891 Agricultural Acts   892 Economic Stabilization Act   893 Environmental Matters   894 Energy Allocation Act   895 Freedom of Information Act   895 Freedom of Information Act   900   Appeal of Fee Determination   Under Equal Access to Justice   950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN  I Original 2 R St  VI. CAUSE OF ACT	Do not cite jurisdi	Remanded from Appellate Court  Statute under which you ar actional statutes unless diver	4 Reor e filing and wr sity.)	istated or 5 (special special special statement of cause		Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VII. REQUESTED I COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CA IF ANY	N CHECK II UNDER F	THIS IS A CLASS ACR.C.P. 23	CTION DE		CHECK	YES only if demanded in complaint:  EMAND: Yes No  CV-N-03-0119-HDM-VPC
August 1 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	2,2003	APPLYING IIT	+ W	JUDGE	ROSE	MAG. JUIXJE